

ATHE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)
John BARANOWSKI) Examiner: Brian D. NASH
Application Number: 10/601,674) Group Art Ünit: 3721
Filed: June 24, 2003) Confirmation No.: 8447
For: DISPENSING SYSTEMS AN METHODS	D)

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF GROUP REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In an Office Action mailed February 4, 2005, the Office Action identified the following allegedly, patentably distinct groups and requested that Applicant elect one of these groups for prosecution in the above-captioned patent application:

Group	<u>Claims</u>	Description
·I	1-28	Drawn to an apparatus for dispensing items in Class 53, Subclass 235
II	29-40	Drawn to a process for dispensing predetermined quantities of items to containers in Class 53, Subclass 473

In response to that Office Action, Applicant respectfully elects Group I (claims 1-28), with traverse.

Remarks:

According to the MPEP, a restriction between inventions is appropriate only when the inventions are shown to be distinct and when there would be a "serious burden" placed on the examiner to examine more than one invention in the same application. "If the search and

DC01:409833.1 -1-